UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,041	12/08/2005	Kai Thiele	281754US0PCT	9444
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			FRANK, NOAH S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,041	THIELE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NOAH FRANK	1796
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPUBLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> .  2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin	/awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be a deposition of the should b	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	oate

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malz et al. (WO02/02684) in view of Gupta et al. (US 5,175,349).

Citations of Malz refer to US 6,995,230, the English equivalent.

Considering Claims 1-2, 5-18: Malz et al. teaches stabilizers containing at least two phenolic groups bonded to one another via a connecting racial which is a polyol with a number-average molecular weight of from 100xF g/mol to 150xF g/mol, where the term F is the number of phenolic goups in the molecule (Abs). The connecting residues preferably have a number-average molar mass smaller than their weight-average molar mass (3:55-60). The particularly preferred stabilizers are those comprising two compounds according to Formula I (3:25-35), bonded via polytetrahydrofuran or polyethylene glycol (4:40-55). Such compounds correspond to the claimed stabilizers X and XX. These compounds have molecular weights of approximately 800-900.

Malz does not teach using these compounds to stabilize isocyanates. However, Gupta et al, teaches using hindered phenol compounds (1:50-60), corresponding to the

compounds of Formula I of Malz, for stabilizing organic polyisocyanates (1:1-10). Gupta uses these stabilizers in an amount from 30 to 100 ppm (2:60-65) in a mixture of tolylene-2,4-diisocyanate and tolylene-2,6-diisocyanate (2:45-55). Gupta also teaches using the stabilized polyisocyanates in the production of polyurethanes (2:30-40). Malz and Gupta are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely hindered phenol stabilizers. At the time of the invention a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have used the stabilizers in isocyanate mixtures, as taught by Gupta, as a known use of hindered phenol compounds.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/4/09 have been fully replied to in the advisory action dated 2/24/09.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NOAH FRANK whose telephone number is (571)270-3667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on 571-272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,041 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Eashoo/ NF Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 8-6-09